IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1263 OF 2004

Praksh Dinkar Sawant ..Petitioner

Vs.

Dr.Mrs.Jyotirmayi Prakash Sawant & Anr. ..Respondents

...

Mr.Rajesh S.Datar Advocate for Petitioner
Mr.Vilas B.Tapkir for Respondent no.1
Mr.D.P.Adsule A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : SEPT. 15,2005

P.C.

1. Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner, learned advocate for the respondent no.1 i.e. the wife of petitioner no.1 and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Rule. By consent, rule is taken up forthwith.

3. The petitioner has prayed that he may be permitted to engage the services of a lawyer to contest the proceedings of PE No.1 of 2004 pending

before the Family Court at Pune which has been initiated by respondent no.1 against him. The learned advocate for respondent no.1 states that there is no serious objection to the said prayer if the matter is heard and is disposed of on merits. In view of this fact this petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause (d).

4. Rule made absolute accordingly in the above terms.

[V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]